

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

**IN RE: LUMBER LIQUIDATORS)
CHINESE-MANUFACTURED FLOORING)
PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES)
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY)
LITIGATION)**

MDL No. 1:15-md-2627(AJT/TRJ)

This Document Relates to:)
)
ALL CASES)

ORDER

On April 21, 2016, the Court heard argument on plaintiffs' motion (no. 892) to compel production of certain interview notes. For the reasons stated from the bench, the Court GRANTS the motion to the extent that responsive fact work can be excerpted from the notes and disclosed to plaintiffs' counsel.

The Court then reviewed *in camera*, a copy of the interview notes highlighted by defense counsel to show separately the portions that they viewed to be relevant to (a) the two deposition exhibits in issue and (b) the deposition topic in issue. Defense counsel answered *ex parte*, to the Court's satisfaction, the Court's questions about several other portions of the notes and the Court directed that one additional portion of the notes be included in the disclosure to plaintiffs' counsel.

For the foregoing reasons, defendant shall produce to plaintiffs' counsel all of the portions of the interview notes described above not later than May 6, 2016, unless defendant shall have by that day filed a Rule 72 Objection to this ruling.

It is so ORDERED.

Alexandria, Virginia
May 4, 2016

_____/s/_____
THOMAS RAWLES JONES, JR.
United States Magistrate Judge